

Screening for Resistance to Phytophthora Blight of Pigeon Pea

J. KANNAIYAN, Plant Pathologist, Y. L. NENE, Principal Plant Pathologist, T. N. RAJU, Technical Assistant, and V. K. SHEILA, Research Technician, Pulse Improvement Program, ICRISAT, ICRISAT Patancheru P.O., Andhra Pradesh 502 324, India

ABSTRACT

KANNAIYAN, J., Y. L. NENE, T. N. RAJU, and V. K. SHEILA. 1981. Screening for resistance to Phytophthora blight of pigeon pea. Plant Disease 65:61-62.

A simple pot culture technique was used to screen 2,835 pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) accessions and cultivars and seven *Atylosia* spp. for resistance to *Phytophthora drechsleri* f. sp. *cajani*. Seventy-seven germ plasm accessions, three cultivars, and two species of *Atylosia* were found to be resistant. The resistance of 75 of the accessions and cultivars was confirmed under field conditions.

Phytophthora stem blight of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) was first reported in India in 1966 (4). Since then, the disease has been identified in several pigeon pea-growing areas and has been considered serious (3,5). During the rainy season, disease incidence becomes severe and plant mortality is high.

Recently, Kannaiyan et al (2) studied several isolates of the pigeon pea blight organism from different parts of India and called the fungus *Phytophthora drechsleri* f. sp. *cajani*. A similar disease caused by *P. parasitica* has been reported recently from Puerto Rico (1).

The most effective way to control Phytophthora blight would be to develop resistant cultivars. In a limited screening, Pal et al (3) identified pigeon pea lines AS-3, 2357, and 4419 as moderately resistant. We used a pot culture technique to screen a large number of germ plasm accessions and identified several sources of resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For each accession, a plastic pot 20 cm in diameter was filled with natural red soil of the Alfisol group (60% sand, 33% clay, 7% silt) and sown with 25 seeds. Altogether, 2,835 pigeon pea accessions and cultivars and seven species of the wild relative *Atylosia* (*A. albicans* Benth., *A. cajanifolia* Haines, *A. lineata* W.&A., *A. platycarpa* Benth., *A. scarabaeoides* (L.) Benth., *A. sericea* Benth., and *A. volubilis* Gamb.) were screened. A blight-susceptible cultivar of pigeon pea (HY-3C) was used as the susceptible check.

The P₂ isolate of *P. drechsleri* f. sp. *cajani* was grown in petri dishes on V-8 juice agar. A 5-mm disk of a 1-wk-old culture was transferred to each of several 250-ml flasks containing 100 ml of V-8

juice broth. The cultures were incubated at 28–30 C for 15 days. Mycelium was collected by filtering the substrate, and the resulting fungus mats were macerated intermittently for 2 min in a Waring Blender with distilled water.

Macerated fungus suspension was diluted with tap water to a final inoculum dilution of one mycelial mat per 200 ml of water. Inoculum (100 ml) was poured around seedlings 5–10 days old in a pot. All pots were watered three times daily to assure adequate development of the disease.

Ten days after inoculation, the percentage of blighted seedlings was calculated. Cross-pollination is common in pigeon pea, and many collections are heterogeneous. Lines with 10% or less blight were considered resistant.

All accessions found to be resistant in pot screening were planted in the field in 1978–1979 and 1979–1980. The inoculum used for field screening was increased on V-8 juice agar for 1 wk at 28–30 C. The fungus and the medium were mixed well with gloved hands after adding 600-mesh Carborundum (0.2%). Inoculum was rubbed by hand on the collar region of individual seedlings 30 days old. The field was flood-irrigated twice (1 wk apart) to create conditions for disease development.

Typical blight symptoms appeared within 10 days of inoculation. One month after the first inoculation, disease-free plants were reinoculated to minimize the chances of escapes. The effectiveness of the inoculation was also monitored by planting the susceptible cultivar HY-3C after every 10 test rows. Final observations of disease incidence were made 1 mo after the second inoculation.

RESULTS

Pot screening. The susceptible check HY-3C always had 90–100% mortality. Eighty pigeon pea accessions and cultivars were found to be resistant in two successive tests: ICP-28, 113, 231, 339, 580, 752, 913, 934, 1088, 1090, 1120, 1123, 1149, 1150, 1151, 1258, 1321, 1529, 1535,

1586, 1788, 1950, 2153, 2376, 2505, 2673, 2682, 2719, 2736, 2974, 3008, 3259, 3367, 3741, 3753, 3840, 3861, 3867, 3868, 3891, 3899, 3937, 3945, 4135, 4141, 4168, 4699, 4752, 4765, 4866, 4882, 5450, 5656, 5860, 6865, 6952, 6953, 6956, 6974, 7057, 7065, 7151, 7182, 7185, 7232, 7269, 7273, 7414, 7533, 7624, 7657, 7701, 7754, 7910, 8101, 8127, 8132, 8139, 8147, and 8151. Of these, Pusa Ageti (ICP-28), Pant A-3 (ICP-6974), and BDN-1 (ICP-7182) are improved cultivars. *A. platycarpa* and *A. sericea* were also resistant to the fungus.

Ten of the blight-resistant accessions (ICP-4765, 4866, 5656, 7414, 8101, 8127, 8132, 8139, 8147, and 8151) have also been found to be resistant to sterility mosaic, another major disease of pigeon pea (M. V. Reddy and Y. L. Nene, unpublished).

Field screening. Seventy-five of the 80 accessions and cultivars that were resistant in pot screenings also proved resistant in the field. The remaining five accessions (ICP-3741, 5450, 5860, 8127, and 8139) showed higher incidence of disease (23.8–57.1%) in the field tests.

DISCUSSION

Of 2,835 pigeon pea germ plasm accessions and cultivars screened, 80 were resistant to Phytophthora blight in pot screenings. Resistance of 75 of these was confirmed in field screening. These resistant materials vary considerably in morphology, maturity, and several other characters. Descriptive information and seeds are available on request from the Genetic Resources Unit of ICRISAT.

These accessions and cultivars should be useful in pigeon pea breeding programs. At our Institute, several lines have already been used in the breeding program. Interestingly, *A. platycarpa* and *A. sericea* are also resistant, although there appears to be no urgent need to transfer this resistance to *Cajanus*.

The pot culture technique is rapid: seedlings can be screened within 3 wk, while field screening requires a full season (4–7 mo, depending on genotype). The disease is seen in the field after wet spells that occur any time within 2 mo of sowing. Thus, screening at the seedling stage is appropriate.

Lines found resistant to both Phytophthora blight and sterility mosaic will be useful in developing multiple disease resistance.

LITERATURE CITED

I. KAISER, W. J., and P. L. MELENDEZ. 1978. A

Contribution of the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT). ICRISAT Journal Article Approval No. 138.

- Phytophthora stem canker disease of pigeon pea in Puerto Rico. Plant Dis. Rep. 62:240-242.
2. KANNAIYAN, J., O. K. RIBEIRO, D. C. ERWIN, and Y. L. NENE. 1980. Phytophthora blight of pigeonpea in India. Mycologia 72:169-181.
 3. PAL, M., J. S. GREWAL, and A. K. SARBHOY. 1970. A new stem rot of arhar caused by *Phytophthora*. Indian Phytopathol. 23:583-587.
 4. WILLIAMS, F. J., J. S. GREWAL, and K. S. AMIN. 1968. Serious and new diseases of pulse crops in India in 1966. Plant Dis. Rep. 52:300-304.
 5. WILLIAMS, F. J., K. S. AMIN, and B. BALDEV. 1975. Phytophthora stem blight of *Cajanus cajan*. Phytopathology 65:1029-1030.