

## Inheritance of a Minute Uredinium Infection Type of Bean Rust in Bean Breeding Line 814

J. A. Kolmer and J. V. Groth

Former graduate research assistant and associate professor, respectively. Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota St. Paul 55108. Present address of first author: Department of Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh 27650. Scientific Journal Series Paper 13,376, Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station, St. Paul 55108. Accepted for publication 26 August 1983.

### ABSTRACT

Kolmer, J. A., and Groth, J. V. 1984. Inheritance of a minute uredinium infection type of bean rust in bean breeding line 814. *Phytopathology* 74:205-207.

*Uromyces appendiculatus* isolate S1-5 produces an infection type characterized by a minute uredinium on bean line 814. Cultivars Early Gallatin and Pinto 111 are moderately and fully susceptible, respectively, to S1-5. S1-5 produced the minute uredinium on the F<sub>1</sub> of 814 × Pinto 111. The F<sub>2</sub> segregated in a 3:1 ratio for minute-large-uredinia infection types. Early Gallatin has a single dominant gene conditioning hypersensitive resistance to *U. appendiculatus* isolate P10-1. The F<sub>1</sub> from 814 × Early Gallatin were resistant to both S1-5 and P10-1. The F<sub>2</sub> segregated in a 9:3:3:1 ratio for resistance to isolates P10-1 and S1-5: resistance only to P10-1: resistance only to S1-5: susceptibility to both isolates. Bean cultivar

US#3 has a single dominant gene conditioning a large, sometimes sporulating fleck, when inoculated with S1-5. F<sub>1</sub> progeny of 814 × US#3 produced minute necrotic flecks when inoculated with S1-5. The F<sub>2</sub> segregated in a 12:3:1 ratio: progeny with minute, non-necrotic uredinia grading into minute necrotic flecks: sporulating uredinia surrounded by large areas of necrosis: and large non-necrotic uredinia, respectively. Segregations in the F<sub>3</sub> families of 814 × US#3 agreed with the F<sub>2</sub> segregations. The gene conditioning the minute uredinium infection type in 814 appears to be epistatic to the gene conditioning the necrotic fleck in US#3.

*Additional key words:* *Phaseolus vulgaris*, specific resistance.

Wingard (5) was the first to study the inheritance of resistance in beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to *Uromyces appendiculatus* Fries. He observed in two different crosses that a single dominant gene conditioned resistance. Harter and Zaumeyer (4) identified 20 races of *U. appendiculatus* by using a differential set of seven cultivars. In a later study, Zaumeyer (6) found that single dominant factors commonly conditioned resistance to most of the races of *U. appendiculatus* that he used. He dealt primarily with the hypersensitive type of resistance. The F<sub>2</sub> progeny of a cross between a resistant cultivar and a susceptible cultivar segregated in a 3:1, resistant:susceptible, ratio. However, in the F<sub>3</sub> progeny derived from a heterozygous F<sub>2</sub> plant, variation within the resistant class was observed. Most of the resistant F<sub>3</sub> plants displayed the hypersensitive infection type, while a few had minute-uredinium infection types. Environmental factors were eliminated as the source of variation within the resistant class. Zaumeyer and Harter (6) concluded that a major genetic factor may govern resistance, and that others may govern variation within the range of susceptibility. They stated that variation within the susceptible and resistant class could be accounted for by the presence of segregating background factors which have an effect on infection type.

Bean line 814, when inoculated with *U. appendiculatus* isolate S1-5, produces an infection type 3 (uredinium diameter, 100 μm)

based on the scale of Groth and Shrum (3), which is characterized by a minute uredinium. This interaction is readily distinguished from hypersensitive-resistant flecks and susceptible infection types (uredinium diameter, 500 μm). The infection type 3 is unique because of the lack of necrosis associated with the minute uredinium.

Cultivar Early Gallatin has a single dominant gene, designated by Christ and Groth (2) as (*Up*<sub>2</sub>), which conditions a type 1 infection, a minute hypersensitive fleck, in response to isolate P10-1 of *U. appendiculatus*. Early Gallatin is moderately resistant (infection type 4) to isolate S1-5. Cultivar US#3 has a single dominant gene designated by Christ and Groth (2) as (*Up*<sub>1</sub>) which conditions a type 2 resistant infection (small sori with both urediniospores and flecks) in response to isolate S1-5 (3). Cultivar Pinto 111 is susceptible to both S1-5 and P10-1.

The objectives of this research were to determine the inheritance of the minute-uredinium infection type 3 in bean line 814; determine if the resistance in 814 is independent from, linked, or identical to that in US#3, and if resistance in 814 to S1-5 is independent of, or linked with resistance in Early Gallatin to isolate P10-1.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds of the bean cultivars Early Gallatin, Pinto 111, US#3, and line 814 were germinated in vermiculite, then transplanted to 30.5-cm-diameter pots filled with soil and grown in the greenhouse. Each week, 0.3 g of 10% aldicarb insecticide granules and 12-12-12

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

(N-P-K) fertilizer were applied to the soil.

Line 814 was crossed with cultivars Pinto 111, Early Gallatin, and US#3 by the hooking method (1). A tag was looped around the flowers indicating the parents and the date the cross was made. All flowers that were not involved in crosses were removed from plants. Seeds resulting from a cross were harvested when pods were dry.

F<sub>1</sub> seeds were germinated in vermiculite, then transplanted to 305-mm-diameter plastic pots containing steamed soil. Primary leaves of the plants were inoculated before full leaf expansion with *U. appendiculatus* isolates S1-5, P10-1, or both (on separate leaves). The inoculation method of Groth and Shrum (3) was used. The plants were treated with fertilizer and insecticide in the same manner as the parental plants. The F<sub>1</sub> plants were allowed to self-fertilize. The F<sub>2</sub> seeds were removed from the F<sub>1</sub> plants when the plants were dry. The F<sub>2</sub> seeds were germinated and inoculated in 25–20 seedling lots in steel flats containing vermiculite. The infection types on the F<sub>2</sub> plants were determined 12 days after inoculation.

Selected F<sub>2</sub> plants from Pinto 111 × 814, and US#3 × 814, were transplanted to 30.5-cm-diameter pots and were allowed to self-fertilize. The infection types of the families of F<sub>3</sub> progeny were determined as described above.

## RESULTS

Two F<sub>1</sub> seeds obtained from the cross of Pinto 111 (susceptible to S1-5) × 814 (resistant to S1-5) produced a resistant type 3 uredinium when inoculated with isolate S1-5 (Table 1). The segregation of the combined F<sub>2</sub> progeny from both families approximated a 3:1 ratio (Table 1). Progeny with infection types 3 and 4 were classed as resistant; those that developed infection types 5 to 8 were classed as susceptible. Although variation from the parental types were observed within resistant and susceptible classes, a discrete difference in uredinium size between infection types 4 and 5 was found, and progeny were readily classed as resistant or susceptible.

Five F<sub>2</sub> plants of 814 × Pinto 111, selected on the basis of their infection type with S1-5, were allowed to self-fertilize to obtain F<sub>3</sub> families. Two of the families derived from resistant F<sub>2</sub> plants that

had an infection type 3 did not segregate when inoculated with S1-5; all of their F<sub>3</sub> progeny were resistant. Two other families derived from resistant F<sub>2</sub> families did segregate into an approximate 3:1 ratio when inoculated with S1-5. The fifth F<sub>3</sub> family derived from a susceptible F<sub>2</sub> plant did not segregate; all progeny were susceptible to S1-5 (Table 1).

Three F<sub>1</sub> plants obtained from US#3 × 814 were all resistant to S1-5 and produced minute necrotic flecks. The F<sub>2</sub> generation of the families segregated into three discrete infection types when inoculated with S1-5: minute type 1 flecks grading into type 3 uredinia; uredinia surrounded by large necrotic zones; types 5–8 uredinia. These infection types were grouped according to the observed discontinuity in sizes of uredinia and the presence or absence of necrosis. In this manner, two different resistant classes and one susceptible class were obtained. Progeny with type 1 flecks, minute type 3 uredinia with necrosis, and minute type 3 uredinia were grouped together on the basis of minute infection types into the largest resistant class. Variation within this class was continuous. Infection types with large sporulating uredinia with large areas of necrosis were grouped into the second resistant class. Continuous variation in uredinia size and necrotic area was also observed in this class. The numbers of the F<sub>2</sub> plants in the two resistant and one susceptible classes approximated a 12:3:1 ratio (Table 2).

Two F<sub>2</sub> plants of US#3 × 814, selected on the basis of their infection type with S1-5, were allowed to self-fertilize to obtain F<sub>3</sub> families. One of the families, derived from an F<sub>2</sub> plant with an infection type 3, had progeny that exhibited only minute flecks surrounding a minute uredinium. The other family, also derived from an F<sub>2</sub> with an infection type 3, segregated for the two resistant classes in an approximate 3:1:0 ratio (Table 2).

The cross between 814 and Early Gallatin resulted in an F<sub>1</sub> plant that was resistant to both P10-1 and S1-5. The F<sub>2</sub> progeny of the family segregated consistent with a 9:3:3:1 ratio for resistance to both isolates P10-1 and S1-5: resistance only to P10-1: resistance only to S1-5: susceptibility to both isolates (Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

We hypothesize that a single dominant gene, designated as (*Up*<sub>3</sub>), conditions the minute uredinium resistance in bean line 814 to *U. appendiculatus* isolate S1-5. This hypothesis is the simplest explanation of the approximate 3:1, resistant:susceptible, ratio seen in the F<sub>2</sub> progeny of 814 × Pinto 111. Two F<sub>3</sub> families derived from resistant F<sub>2</sub> plants segregated in approximate 3:1, resistant:susceptible, ratios when inoculated with S1-5. This indicates that both F<sub>2</sub> plants were heterozygous for resistance at a single locus. Two other F<sub>3</sub> families derived from resistant F<sub>2</sub> plants had only progeny resistant to S1-5. This indicates that both of these F<sub>2</sub> plants were homozygous for resistance. The final F<sub>3</sub> family, derived from a susceptible F<sub>2</sub> plant, had only susceptible progeny. This indicates that the F<sub>2</sub> plant was homozygous recessive for susceptibility.

The approximate 12:3:1 segregation pattern in the F<sub>2</sub> progeny of the cross between US#3 and 814 can be explained by considering that the types resistant to infection by S1-5 in the two parents are conditioned by independent dominant genes and by hypothesizing that (*Up*<sub>3</sub>) is epistatic to (*Up*<sub>1</sub>). A small-lesion infection type (either

TABLE 1. Segregation for resistance to *Uromyces appendiculatus* isolate S1-5 in two F<sub>2</sub> families (pooled) and five F<sub>3</sub> families from the cross of bean cultivars 814 (infection type 3) × Pinto 111 (infection type 7)

Family type	Numbers of progeny				Ratio	P value
	Observed		Expected			
	Resistant <sup>a</sup>	Susceptible	Resistant	Susceptible		
F <sub>2</sub>	83	34	87.75	29.25	3:1	0.3
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	20	0	20.0	0.0	1:0	...
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	25	0	25.0	0.0	1:0	...
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	7	4	8.25	2.75	3:1	0.4
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	9	5	10.5	3.5	3:1	0.4
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup>	0	15	0.0	15.0	0:1	...

<sup>a</sup>Infection types 3 and 4 indicate resistance; infection types 5–8 indicate susceptibility.

<sup>b</sup>F<sub>2</sub> Parental plant resistant (infection type 3) to isolate S1-5.

<sup>c</sup>F<sub>2</sub> Parental plant susceptible (infection type 7) to isolate S1-5.

TABLE 2. Segregation for resistance to *Uromyces appendiculatus* isolate S1-5 in three F<sub>2</sub> families (pooled) and two F<sub>3</sub> families from the cross of bean cultivars 814 (infection type 3) × US#3 (infection type 2)

Family type	Numbers of progeny						Ratio	P value
	Observed			Expected				
	Resistant <sub>1</sub> <sup>a</sup>	Resistant <sub>2</sub>	Susceptible	Resistant <sub>1</sub>	Resistant <sub>2</sub>	Susceptible		
F <sub>2</sub>	179	46	20	183.75	45.9	15.31	12:3:1	0.60
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	45	...	...	45.0	...	...	1:0:0	...
F <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	27	11	...	28.5	9.5	...	3:1:0	0.65

<sup>a</sup>Resistant<sub>1</sub> = infection types 1 and 3; Resistant<sub>2</sub> = infection type 2; and Susceptible = infection types 5–8.

<sup>b</sup>F<sub>2</sub> Parent plant resistant (infection type 3) to *U. appendiculatus* isolate S1-5.

type 1 minute fleck or minute uredinium) characterizes the first and largest resistance class (Table 2). Three-fourths of the members of this class have both the resistance gene ( $Up_3$ ) from 814 and ( $Up_1$ ) from US#3. As the ( $Up_3$ ) gene appears to be epistatic to the ( $Up_1$ ) gene, resistance to S1-5 in plants with both resistance genes is expressed as the minute infection type characteristic of the gene ( $Up_3$ ) in bean line 814, with varying degrees of necrosis. This was seen in both the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of 814  $\times$  US#3. The other resistant class in the  $F_2$  progeny had the infection type 2 characteristic of US#3 (Table 2). The members of this class were either homozygous or heterozygous for the gene ( $Up_1$ ). The resistance gene ( $Up_3$ ) was not present. Although variation was seen in all three classes, discrete differences in size of infection type enabled the progeny to be readily assigned to one of the two resistance classes, or to the susceptible class.

Variation within the three segregating classes in the  $F_2$  of 814  $\times$  US#3 could have been due to either genetic or environmental sources. Segregating background genes with an effect on expression of infection type may be responsible for the variation seen within the three classes. Environmental variation may also have been present due to differing amounts of fertilizer, sunlight, and water which the  $F_2$  progenies received.

The two  $F_3$  families of 814  $\times$  US#3 were consistent with the  $F_2$  results. The genotype of the  $F_2$  plant from which the homozygous resistant  $F_3$  family was derived is hypothesized as ( $Up_1 - up_2up_2Up_3Up_3$ ). The genotype of the  $F_2$  plant from which was derived the  $F_3$  family segregating for resistance is hypothesized as ( $Up_1Up_1up_2up_2Up_3up_3$ ).

The segregation in the  $F_2$  of 814  $\times$  Early Gallatin indicates that ( $Up_2$ ), the gene in Early Gallatin that conditions resistance to isolate P10-1, and ( $Up_3$ ), the gene in 814 are independent of each other (Table 3).

The suggested genotypes of US#3, Early Gallatin, and 814 are ( $Up_1Up_1up_2up_2up_3up_3$ ), ( $up_1up_1Up_2Up_2up_3up_3$ ), and ( $up_1up_1up_2up_2Up_3Up_3$ ), respectively.

TABLE 3. Parental infection types and segregation for infection types with *Uromyces appendiculatus* isolates S1-5 and P10-1 in one  $F_2$  family from the cross of bean cultivars 814  $\times$  Early Gallatin

Bean cultivar	Infection type for:		Numbers of progeny		Expected ratio <sup>a</sup>
	P10-1	S1-5	Observed	Expected	
Early Gallatin	1	4			
814	6	3			
	1	3	29	29.25	9
	1	4	7	9.75	3
	6	3	11	9.75	3
	6	4	5	3.15	1

<sup>a</sup> $P = 0.6$ .

The effect of segregating background genes can be seen readily in the variation within the  $F_2$  resistant and susceptible classes of Pinto 111  $\times$  814, and US#3  $\times$  814. It is evident that single genes may condition resistance or susceptibility, while background genes may play a role in the manner in which the resistance is expressed.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. Buishand, T. J. 1956. The crossing of beans. *Euphytica* 5:41-50.
2. Christ, B. J., and Groth, J. V. 1982. Inheritance of resistance in three cultivars of beans to the bean rust pathogen and the interaction of virulence and resistance genes. *Phytopathology* 72:771-773.
3. Groth, J. V., and Shrum, R. D. 1977. Virulence in Minnesota and Wisconsin bean rust collections. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 61:756-760.
4. Harter, L. L., and Zaumeyer, W. J. 1941. Differentiation of physiologic races of *Uromyces phaseoli* var. *typica*. *J. Agric. Res.* 62:717-731.
5. Wingard, S. A. 1933. The development of rust resistant beans by hybridization. *Va. Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Bull.* 51. 40 pp.
6. Zaumeyer, W. J., and Harter, L. L. 1941. Inheritance of resistance to six physiologic races of bean rust. *J. Agric. Res.* 63:599-622.