Biological and Cultural Tests for Control of Plant Diseases: An Overview of 1991 Reports

CRAIG H. CANADAY, University of Tennessee, West Tennessee Experiment Station, Jackson 38301

Like earlier volumes, the recently published volume 6 of Biological and Cultural Tests for Control of Plant Diseases reports the results of numerous tests to control plant diseases by nonchemical means. The new volume contains 109 separate reports: 32 on vegetables, 21 on peanuts and soybeans, 10 on small grains, 9 on corn and sorghum, 12 on other field crops (dry bean, cotton, sugarcane, tall fescue), 14 on turfgrasses. 6 on ornamentals (ornamental cabbage and kale, carnation, crabapple, rhododendron, zinnia), and 5 on deciduous fruits and nuts. Disease control through use of plant resistance, biological agents, cultural practices, or combinations of these methods is reported. The volume also contains a special report by G. E. Harman on the requirements for successful control of soilborne plant pathogens with biological agents and on the technological problems that have slowed commercial application.

Plant resistance. Seventy-five reports included some evaluation of host resistance to control plant disease. Most of these studies (>93%) indicated significant disease control with use of certain cultivars, plant hybrids, inbred lines, plant introductions, or other selections. Reductions in the level of disease were reported for 72 host-pathogen combinations (Table 1). Although host resistance was the sole control procedure evaluated in most of these reports, host resistance also was evaluated in combination with planting date (pp. 29 and 70), row spacing (p. 65), pesticide use (pp. 32, 65, and 77), spray schedules (pp. 10, 23, and 37), soil amendments of calcium silicate slag (pp. 86 and 88), and biological agents (p. 57).

Plant resistance for disease control was evaluated in five additional tests. Sixtysix dry bean cultivars, including Great Northern, pinto, navy, black, small white, and kidney types, were evaluated for reactions to common blight (p. 78) and to rust (p. 81). Significant differences in

Dr. Canaday is coeditor of the vegetables section of Biological and Cultural Tests for Control of Plant Diseases, Wayne F. Wilcox, editor, published annually by The American Phytopathological Society. Copies of current and past volumes may be obtained from APS Press, 3340 Pilot Knob Road, St. Paul, MN 55121-2097, U.S.A.

the yields of several processing tomato cultivars were also reported; however, the foliar disease ratings did not differ significantly (p. 34). Ten of 48 crabapple cultivars remained free of apple scab, rust, fire blight, and powdery mildew during a 6-year evaluation period and showed promise as disease-resistant selections for the southeastern states (p. 106). Although there were no significant differences in the disease ratings of 18 creeping bentgrass cultivars evaluated for Pythium root rot resistance, variation in the rate of disease development indicated that some plant resistance may exist (p. 92).

Biological agents. Evaluation of biological agents for control of plant diseases was included in 17 reports (Table 2). Most of these reports also included one or more standard fungicide treatments for comparison. Compared with controls, biological agents suppressed disease or increased yield, or both, in nearly 65% of the studies. A variety of biological agents were evaluated, with five bacterial genera and four fungal genera represented. In addition to identified agents, over two dozen numbered biological agents (organism unspecified) were studied. Several of these agents had significant disease-suppressive or yieldenhancing capabilities, and registrations are being pursued for commercial production. The effects of biological agents on disease severity were also evaluated in combination with solarization (p. 49) and planting date (p. 80). Of particular interest to researchers may be the potential interaction between biological agents and commercial seed protectant dye (p. 85).

Cultural practices. Fifteen reports focused on evaluation of cultural practices for disease control. Five of these reports (pp. 90, 91, 93, 101, and 105) dealt with the effects of nitrogen source, organic fertilizers, composts, or other soil amendments on disease development: four on turfgrass diseases (brown patch, dollar spot, and red thread) and one on Fusarium wilt of carnation. Several commercial composts were as effective as a high rate of the fungicide standard in suppressing diseases of turfgrass.

In other reports, shading inoculated soil with straw significantly increased the incidence of take-all of wheat and led

to lower yields than when soil was left unshaded (p. 76). Symptoms of bacterial canker developed somewhat faster in pruned than in nonpruned tomato plants inoculated with Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis (p. 33). When applied for control of root-knot nematode, a "biological nematicide" composed of a crustacean chitin-protein complex plus fertilizer and organic buffers increased the marketable head weight of lettuce over that of a fertilizer check without significantly affecting the number of galls on roots (p. 13). Inoculating whole or cut seed potatoes with Rhizoctonia solani increased the incidence of premature senescence; plants from whole seed potatoes had lower plant vigor scores than those from cut seed potatoes (p. 24). A 3-year rotation to Sudan grass reduced soil populations of Verticillium dahliae and the incidence of Verticillium wilt on potato while leading to higher total yields than cover crops of pea or rape or a fallow control (p. 26). Rape, however, was more effective than Sudan grass for reducing the incidence of Rhizoctonia. A 1-year rotation to other vegetable crops, on the other hand, provided insufficient control of Alternaria blight of carrot (p. 8). There were significant interactions between soil fumigation and soil solarization treatments on soil populations of Pythium spp. and Fusarium spp. (p. 9) and between nitrogen level and cutting height on the severity of brown patch of tall fescue (p. 97). Regular use of fungicide sprays provided better control of early blight and Septoria leaf spot on tomato than did crop rotation (p. 36). Most other cultural practices were also less effective than pesticide sprays in reducing defoliation of tomato caused by early blight (p. 35).

Laboratory studies. A leaf leachate study indicated that soybean resistance to frogeye leaf spot was not due to the presence of inhibitory substances (p. 59). In a related study, the sensitivity of several fungal pathogens of soybean to cercosporin indicated *Cercospora* spp. may have a competitive advantage in colonizing soybean seed (p. 60).

Comment. Public concern for food safety has prompted a call for reduced use of pesticides in food production and plant management practices. Additional research on the use of plant resistance, biological agents, and cultural practices

to control plant diseases and of integrated pest management systems that combine methodologies is needed to achieve this goal. Like earlier volumes

of Biological and Cultural Tests for Control of Plant Diseases, volume 6 provides many excellent examples of research addressing this need.

Editor's note: We solicit readers' opinions on the value of publishing overviews of future volumes of Biological and Cultural Tests for Control of Plant Diseases. Opinions may be sent to the editor-in-chief.

Host	Disease	Pathogen	Page(s)	Host	Disease	Pathogen	Page(s)
Apple	Alternaria	Alternaria mali	1		Early blight	Alternaria solani	17-20,23,25
whhie	leaf blotch	7111CITIATIA TITAL	_		Late blight	Phytophthora	21–23
	Powdery mildew	Podosphaera	3			infestans	25
	•	leucotricha			Verticillium wilt	Verticillium dahliae	25
Bean, dry	White mold	Sclerotinia	82,83	Pumpkin	Downy mildew	Pseudoperonospora cubensis	28
_		sclerotiorum			Powdery mildew	Sphaerotheca	28
Bean, snap	Gray mold	Botrytis cinerea	6 7		1 Owdery mindew	fuliginea	20
Cabbage	Black rot	Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris	′		Scab	Cladosporium cucumerinum	28
Cabbage,	Black rot	Xanthomonas	104		Watermelon	Watermelon mosaic	28
ornamental	Diaon 100	campestris			mosaic	virus, strain II	
Celery	Bacterial blight	Pseudomonas	10	Raspberry	Anthracnose	Elsinoë veneta	4
		cichorii		D	Gray mold	Botrytis cinerea	4,5 108
	Early blight	Cercospora apii	10	Rhododendron	Botryosphaeria dieback	Botryosphaeria dothidea	100
Corn, field	Gray leaf spot	Cercospora zeae-	39–41	Rice	Leaf blast	Pyricularia oryzae	69
	Mairo dwarf	<i>maydis</i> Maize dwarf	42	Rice	Sheath blight	Rhizoctonia solani	69
	Maize dwarf mosaic	mosaic virus	72	Sorghum, grain	Head smut	Sporisorium	45
	Stalk and	Fusarium	44	Borgitam, gram		reilianum	
	ear rots	moniliforme			Maize dwarf	Maize dwarf	46
		Botryodiplodia	44		mosaic	mosaic virus	
		theobromae		Soybean	Brown stem rot	Phialophora	56
		Macrophomina	44			gregata	5.0
		phaseolina	••		Iron deficiency	(Alkali soil)	56
Corn, sweet	Bacterial wilt	Erwinia stewartii	38		chlorosis Northern	Meloidogyne hapla	66
	Rust Southern corn	Puccinia sorghi Bipolaris maydis	38 43		root-knot nematode	тегогаодуне нарга	00
Crohonnio	leaf blight Apple scab	Venturia inaequalis	107		Pyrenochaeta	Pyrenochaeta	61
Crabapple	Frogeye leaf	Botryosphaeria obtusa	107		leaf blotch Sclerotinia	glycines Sclerotinia	63
Cucumber	Downy mildew	Pseudoperonospora	11		stem rot	sclerotiorum	
Cucumber	2011119 111114011	cubensis			Soybean cyst	Heterodera glycines	56,64,66,67
	Powdery mildew	Sphaerotheca	11		nematode		
		fuliginea		St. Augustinegrass	Gray leaf spot	Pyricularia grisea	103
Kale	Black rot	Xanthomonas campestris	104	Sugarcane	Ringspot	Leptosphaeria sacchari	86,87 88
Kentucky	Dollar spot	Sclerotinia	94		Sugarcane rust	Puccinia melanocephala	88
bluegrass	T C .	homoeocarpa	95	Sweetpotato	Soil rot (pox)	Streptomyces	29
	Leaf spot	Drechslera poae	95 96	Sweetpotato	Son for (pox)	ipomoea	
	Necrotic ring spot	Leptosphaeria korrae	90		Southern	Meloidogyne	30
Lettuce	Downy mildew	Bremia lactucae	12		root knot	incognita	
Muskmelon	Downy mildew	Pseudoperonospora	14		Stem rot	Fusarium	31
Waskincion	Don'ny manara	cubensis			(Fusarium	oxysporum	
	Fusarium wilt	Fusarium	15		wilt)	f. sp. batatas	00
		oxysporum		Tall fescue	Leaf spot	Drechslera	89
_		f. sp. melonis	(0	Tomata	and blight	dictyoides Colletotrichum	32,37
Oats	Barley yellow	Barley yellow dwarf	68	Tomato	Anthracnose	coccodes	32,37
.	dwarf	virus	54		Early blight	Alternaria solani	37
Peanut	Rhizoctonia limb rot	Rhizoctonia solani	34		Septoria leaf	Septoria lycopersici	37
	Sclerotinia	Sclerotinia minor	47		spot		
	blight	Deteronina minor	••	Triticale	Scab	Fusarium	75
	Southern blight	Sclerotium rolfsii	51			graminearum	
	Spotted wilt	Tomato spotted	52		Take-all	Gaeumannomyces	77
		wilt virus				graminis	71 72
	White mold	Sclerotium rolfsii	54	Wheat	Leaf rust	Puccinia recondita	71–73
Pepper	Blight	Phytophthora	16		Powdery mildew	f. sp. tritici Erysiphe graminis	73
D	Dallana	capsici Solomotimia	98		1 Owdery lillidew	f. sp. tritici	13
Perennial ryegrass	Dollar spot	Sclerotinia	96		Scab	Fusarium	74,75
	Red thread	homoeocarpa Laetisaria	100,102		5000	graminearum	,
	Rea tilleau	fuciformis	100,102		Septoria leaf	Septoria tritici	73
Potato	Bacterial	Erwinia spp.	25		and glume	and S. nodorum	
- 0.000	soft rot	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			blotch		
	Common scab	Streptomyces	25		Barley yellow	Barley yellow dwarf	70
		scabies		l	dwarf	virus	

^aIncludes cultivars, commercial hybrids, breeding lines, and other selections.

Table 2. Reports on use of bacteria and fungi as biological control agents

Agent/disease	Pathogen	Host	Page(s)	Agent/disease	Pathogen	Host	Page(s)
BACTERIA				Sclerotinia blight	Sclerotinia minor		
Bacillus spp.						Peanut	49
Seedling diseases	Rhizoctonia solani	Cotton	85	Seedling diseases	Rhizoctonia solani	Cotton	85
and damping-off	and Pythium spp.	Peanut	50	37	and Pythium spp.	Peanut	50
PG	2 yımam spp.	Soybean	57*,62*a	Verticillium wilt	Verticillium dahliae	Potato	27
White mold	Sclerotinia			Binucleate Rhizoctonia spp.			
·· mee moid	sclerotiorum	Bean, dry	84	Seedling diseases	Rhizoctonia solani	Peanut	50
Enterobacter garagenes	scierottorum				and Pythium spp.	Cotton	85
Enterobacter aerogenes Crown and root rot	B1			Sporidesmium sp.			
Crown and root rot	Phytophthora	Apple	2*	Sclerotinia blight	Sclerotinia minor	Peanut	48*
Formation I I I I	cactorum			Trichoderma spp.			
Erwinia herbicola				Sclerotinia blight	Sclerotinia minor	Peanut	48,49
White mold	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum	Bean, dry	84*	Seedling diseases	Rhizoctonia solani.	Bean, dry	80*
					Fusarium spp.,	Cotton	85
Pseudomonas spp.					and Pythium spp.	Peanut	50
Damping-off, root rot,	Rhizoctonia solani,	Bean, dry	79		and Fyimum spp.		58
and seedling diseases	Fusarium spp.,	Cotton	85			Soybean	38
	and Pythium spp.	Peanut	50				
	opp.	Soybean	57,58	NUMBERED BIOLOGICA	L AGENTS		
Streptomyces spp.		Soybean	37,36	Brown patch	Rhizoctonia solani	Bentgrass	91*
Seedling diseases	Rhizoctonia solani	Dannut	50	Dollar spot	Sclerotinia	Bentgrass	91
Securing diseases		Peanut	50	_	homoeocarpa		
	and Pythium spp.			Pythium blight	Pythium	Ryegrass	99*
FUNGI				1 - 7	aphanidermatum	rcy og rass	,,
Gliocladium spp.				Rhizoctonia limb rot	Rhizoctonia solani	Peanut	53*,55*
Rhizoctonia stem	Rhizoctonia solani	Zinnia	109*	Sclerotinia blight	Sclerotinia minor		
and root rot	220cionia soluni	Ziiiiia	109	White mold		Peanut	48*
				winte moid	Sclerotium rolfsii	Peanut	53*,55*

^a Asterisk indicates significant disease suppression and/or yield increase with biological agent.