

## Influence of Isolates of *Gliocladium virens* and Delivery Systems on Biological Control of Southern Blight on Carrot and Tomato in the Field

JEAN B. RISTAINO, Associate Professor, North Carolina State University, Raleigh 27695-7616; and JACK A. LEWIS and ROBERT D. LUMSDEN, USDA-ARS, Biocontrol of Plant Diseases Laboratory, Beltsville Agriculture Research Center, Beltsville, MD 20705

---

### ABSTRACT

Ristaino, J. B., Lewis, J. A., and Lumsden, R. D. 1994. Influence of isolates of *Gliocladium virens* and delivery systems on biological control of southern blight on carrot and tomato in the field. *Plant Dis.* 78:153-156.

Experiments were conducted in the field from 1990 to 1992 to evaluate the influence of two isolates of the fungal antagonist *Gliocladium virens* and two delivery systems on the biological control of southern blight caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* on processing carrot and tomato. Two isolates of *G. virens* (GL-3 and GL-21) in either a bran prill or vermiculite bran formulation, nonamended control formulations, and the fungicides PCNB (Terrachlor 75WP) or flutolanil (Moncut 50WP) were applied to separate plots infested with *S. rolfsii* arranged in a randomized complete block design. The incidence of southern blight in carrot was consistently reduced over 3 yr by both isolates of *G. virens* in the bran prill formulation when the antagonist was cultivated into soil on both sides of the row. Disease control on carrots with the bran prill formulations of *G. virens* was equal to or better than control achieved with the fungicides PCNB and flutolanil. Respective disease incidences in 1990, 1991, and 1992 on carrots were 62, 65, and 35% in *S. rolfsii*-infested control plots; 4, 46, and 12% in GL-3 bran-prill-amended plots; and 23, 48, and 11% in GL-21 bran-prill-amended plots. Disease control with the vermiculite bran formulations of the same two isolates was more variable on carrot over the years but was obtained in 1990. Yield of carrot was increased in plots treated with bran prill formulations of GL-3 and GL-21 compared with *S. rolfsii*-infested controls. In contrast, significant reductions in disease incidence on processing tomato were only observed in 1991; disease incidence was reduced from 69% in nontreated control plots to 27% in plots treated with the bran prill formulation of isolate GL-3. Biological control of southern blight may be more feasible on carrot, which has a more limited infection court of the taproot and stem, than on processing tomato, which has a large infection court including roots, stems, leaves, vines, and fruit. These results demonstrate that biological control is a viable alternative and equally efficacious to chemical control for southern blight of carrot.

---

*Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. is a destructive soilborne fungal pathogen of crops grown in the southeastern United States

Accepted for publication 27 October 1993.

© 1994 The American Phytopathological Society

including tobacco, peanuts, sweetpotato, tomato, potato, pepper, and carrots (1, 11). Sclerotia, which function as overwintering structures, can survive for prolonged periods in field soils. Following germination of sclerotia on or near the soil surface, the fungus can cause general

plant wilting and stem cankers, which lead to plant death.

Disease management requires strategies that either reduce the overwintering population of sclerotia in the soil or prevent infection. Chemical control methods using compounds such as pentachloronitrobenzene (PCNB), methyl bromide, chloropicrin, or metam-sodium may limit disease because of their toxicity to sclerotia (18). However, the use of some of these chemicals has been restricted resulting from problems with pesticide residues and ozone depletion. Cultural practices that bury sclerotia, such as deep plowing, remove the pathogen from the infection court near the base of the stem. Cultivation also can move infested soil containing sclerotia back to the infection court near the plant stem and increase disease severity (8,11,21). Host resistance to the pathogen is not available for many vegetable crops (1).

Biological control of southern blight by the introduction of antagonistic bacteria, fungi, or actinomycetes has been studied in the laboratory, greenhouse, and field, yet no commercial biocontrol products for this disease are available to growers (2,4-6,9,10,13,18, 19,23). An isolate of *Gliocladium virens* J.H. Miller, J.E. Giddens, & A.A. Foster (GL-21), a saprophytic soil fungus isolated from sclerotia of *Sclerotinia minor*, is efficacious for biological control of

several major soilborne fungi (3,14,15, 19). Isolate GL-21 effectively reduced sclerotial populations of *Rhizoctonia solani* and disease on potato in the field (3). Diseases caused by *Pythium* and *Rhizoctonia* spp. in greenhouse production systems on ornamentals also were reduced in *G. vires*-amended soilless mixes (14,15). Disease incidence on tomato seedlings was lower in *G. vires*-amended than nonamended soils, and viability of sclerotia of *S. rolfsii* was reduced in the field to depths of 30 cm (19). Viability and infectivity of sclerotia of *S. rolfsii* on bean were lower in greenhouse soils amended with *G. vires* than nonamended soil (16,17). Consequently, *G. vires* is an excellent candidate for further testing for biological control of southern blight in the field. Furthermore, a bran prill formulation of GL-21 was recently registered by the Environmental Protection Agency and is being test marketed by W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn., Columbia, Maryland, under the name Gliogard for biocontrol of soilborne pathogens in greenhouse ornamental and bedding plants (14,15).

The objectives of this research were to evaluate the influence of two isolates of *G. vires* and two delivery systems on the biological control of southern blight on processing carrots and tomato in the field and to compare the efficacy of the microbial and chemical fungicides.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Planting.** Experiments were conducted at the Horticultural Crops Research Station in Clinton, North Carolina, in 1990, 1991, and 1992. Ten treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications per

treatment. Studies were conducted in fields planted to either processing carrot (*Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus* (Hoffm.) Arcang.) or tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Carrots (cv. Danvers 126) were direct-seeded in April on 0.96-m centers in single-row beds that were 9 m in length. Tomatoes (cv. Chico III) were transplanted in May on 1.1-m centers in single-row beds 9 m in length; within-row spacing was 30 cm. Recommended fertilizer rates were applied to each crop.

**Inoculation.** Cultures of *S. rolfsii* were maintained on potato-dextrose agar slants under oil. Inoculum of *S. rolfsii* was grown on sterile oat grains for 2 wk and added to plots at a rate of 5 cm<sup>3</sup> per 30 cm of row in 1990 and 1991. In 1992, plots were not artificially infested but contained natural inoculum of *S. rolfsii*. All plots were infested with the pathogen except the plots used as non-infested controls in 1990 and 1991. Two isolates of *G. vires* (GL-3 provided by the Biocontrol of Plant Diseases Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, Maryland; GL-21 provided by W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn.) in either a bran prill formulation (500 g per 10.2 m<sup>2</sup>) or a vermiculite bran formulation (1.0 kg per 10.2 m<sup>2</sup>) were added to different plots at the time that plots were infested with the pathogen. The bran prill formulation was produced from fungal biomass (3) according to procedures reported previously (7,14). The vermiculite bran formulation consisted of a mixture of 379 g of vermiculite, 4.1 g of bran, and 36.9 g of fungal biomass of *G. vires* to which 605 ml of 0.05 N HCl was added. The vermiculite bran formulation was incubated 2 to 3 days at room temper-

ature (25 C) before use. Bran prill and vermiculite bran that did not contain the antagonists were used as controls and added at the same rate in other plots. Inoculum of the pathogen and biological control fungi were placed on both sides of the row approximately 10 cm from the carrots and covered with soil 8 wk after direct-seeding. Tomato plots were infested by spreading inoculum of the pathogen with or without biocontrol fungi on the surface of the soil and incorporating the inoculum with a rake to approximately 2 cm. Tomato plots were infested with the pathogen with or without the biocontrol fungi immediately after transplanting in 1990 but 4 wk after transplanting in 1991 and 1992 when temperatures were more conducive to disease.

**Fungicide treatments.** Two fungicides were applied in separate treatments 2 days after inoculation. PCNB (Terrachlor 75WP, Uniroyal Chemical, Middlebury, Connecticut) was applied to carrots by spraying the fungicide at a rate of 11.2 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water in a 30-cm band on either side of the row, and flutolanil (Moncut 50WP, Nor-Am Chemical Company, Wilmington, Delaware) was applied at a rate of 2.24 kg a.i./ha in a similar manner. Tomatoes were treated with the fungicides at the same rate; however, fungicides were sprayed on soil in the row in a 30-cm band in 935 L/ha of water. In addition, soil around each tomato was given a fungicide drench with PCNB containing 5.6 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water at the time of transplanting.

**Disease incidence and yield.** Stand counts were taken in all the plots approximately 1 mo after planting. Disease incidence was measured at harvest by observations of aboveground symptoms of disease and signs of the pathogen on each plant in every plot. Yield (weight) and numbers of marketable carrots were measured in entire rows. Yield (weight) of red and green tomato fruit were measured in each row, and total fruit yield was calculated. Data from each year were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance before analysis of variance with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Duncan's multiple-range test was used to compare all treatment means with one another.

**Table 1.** Effect of isolate of *Gliocladium vires*, delivery system, and fungicide on the incidence of disease caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* and marketable yield of processing carrots in the field

| Treatment   | Disease incidence  |          |          | Total yield (kg/plot) |         |        |
|---|--------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|---------|--------|
|   | 1990               | 1991     | 1992     | 1990                  | 1991    | 1992   |
| Noninfested   | 5.6 d <sup>v</sup> | 25.3 e   | 35.0 a   | 17.9 ab               | 7.2 a   | 6.5 bc |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> control <sup>w</sup>                  | 62.2 a             | 65.3 abc | 26.8 ab  | 5.8 ef                | 2.5 cd  | 6.5 bc |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + bran prill <sup>x</sup>             | 59.3 ab            | 81.1 a   | 27.7 ab  | 4.1 f                 | 1.5 d   | 6.8 bc |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + GL-3 bran prill <sup>x</sup>        | 3.5 d              | 45.8 cde | 11.8 bc  | 23.0 a                | 5.3 ab  | 8.3 ab |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + GL-21 bran prill <sup>x</sup>       | 23.0 cd            | 47.5 cd  | 10.6 bc  | 11.2 cd               | 4.3 bc  | 9.4 a  |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + vermiculite bran <sup>y</sup>       | 35.8 bc            | 73.6 ab  | 32.1 a   | 10.2 de               | 2.5 cd  | 6.7 bc |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + GL-3 vermiculite bran <sup>y</sup>  | 20.7 cd            | 56.7 bcd | 35.0 a   | 12.1 cd               | 3.9 bcd | 5.7 c  |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + GL-21 vermiculite bran <sup>y</sup> | 16.3 cd            | 52.1 cd  | 15.9 abc | 14.2 bcd              | 4.4 bc  | 6.6 bc |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + PCNB <sup>z</sup>                   | 59.4 ab            | 42.3 de  | 6.8 c    | 5.5 ef                | 5.6 ab  | 9.6 a  |
| <i>S. rolfsii</i> + flutolanil <sup>z</sup>             | 9.4 d              | 41.9 de  | 4.7 c    | 16.4 bc               | 6.0 ab  | 9.7 a  |

<sup>v</sup> Means in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>w</sup> Inoculum of *S. rolfsii* was grown on sterile oat grains for 2 wk and added to plots at a rate of 5 cm<sup>3</sup> per 30 cm of row in 1990 and 1991. In 1992, plots were not artificially infested but contained natural inoculum of *S. rolfsii*.

<sup>x</sup> Two isolates of *G. vires* (GL-3 and GL-21) in a bran prill formulation or nonamended bran prill were added to different plots at a rate of 500 g per 10.2 m<sup>2</sup> at the time that plots were infested with the pathogen.

<sup>y</sup> Two isolates of *G. vires* (GL-3 and GL-21) in a vermiculite bran formulation or nonamended vermiculite were added to different plots at a rate of 1.0 kg per 10.2 m<sup>2</sup> at the time that plots were infested with the pathogen.

<sup>z</sup> PCNB (Terrachlor 75WP) was applied to carrots at a rate of 11.2 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water in a 30-cm band on soil on either side of the row, and flutolanil (Moncut 50WP) was applied at a rate of 2.24 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water to other plots.

## RESULTS

**Disease and yield in carrots.** Both isolates of *G. vires* were effective in reducing the incidence of southern blight in carrot, but the efficacy varied between delivery methods. In 1990, disease incidence was significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) in plots treated with the bran prill formulation of GL-3 and GL-21 than in *S. rolfsii*-infested control plots (Table 1); in fact, disease incidence was reduced by 94 and 63%, respectively. Disease incidence also was lower in the plots

treated with the bran prill formulation than in infested control plots in 1991 and 1992, but the differences were not statistically significant. Although the percent reduction in disease was not as large for bran prill formulations of GL-3 (29 and 55%) and GL-21 (27 and 60%) in 1991 and 1992 as for those in 1990, disease control in GL-3 and GL-21 bran-prill-treated plots equaled the level of disease control obtained in fungicide-treated plots for each year. The isolate effects within bran prill formulation were also not significantly different in each year (Table 1). The vermiculite bran formulations of GL-3 and GL-21 also significantly reduced disease in 1990 compared with the infested control, but the isolates in the vermiculite formulation were not as efficacious in reducing disease as the bran prill formulations in 1991 and 1992 (Table 1). The nonamended bran prill control formulations did not reduce disease incidence in any year, but in 1990 the nonamended vermiculite bran control formulation significantly reduced disease compared with the infested control.

PCNB significantly reduced the incidence of southern blight on carrot compared with *S. rolfssii*-infested control plots in 1991 and 1992 but had no effect on disease in 1990 (Table 1). In contrast, flutolanil significantly reduced disease incidence in carrot compared with the infested controls each year, and incidence was lower in this treatment than other treatments across all years (Table 1).

Both isolates of *G. virens* were effective in increasing the yield of processing carrot when applied in the bran prill formulation, and yield increases were equal to or better than those obtained with the fungicides (Table 1). Yield of marketable carrots was significantly greater in plots treated with the bran prill formulation of isolate GL-3 than in plots treated with *S. rolfssii* alone in two of three years ( $P < 0.05$ ). Isolate GL-21 in the bran prill formulation also significantly increased yields in 1990 and 1992 (Table 1). The vermiculite bran formulations of isolates GL-3 and GL-21 only significantly increased yields compared with infested controls in 1990 ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 1). Yields of carrots also were significantly greater compared with *S. rolfssii*-infested controls in plots treated with flutolanil in each year ( $P < 0.05$ ) and with PCNB in 1991 and 1992 ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 1). Yields of carrots in plots treated with the bran prill formulations of *G. virens* were equal to or better than yields in plots treated with fungicides in all years (Table 1).

**Disease incidence and yield in tomato.** Only isolate GL-3 in the bran prill formulation reduced southern blight in tomatoes compared with *S. rolfssii*-infested controls, and it was not consistently effective between years. Disease incidence was very high in *S. rolfssii*-

infested control plots planted with processing tomato in each year (Table 2). Disease incidence in 1991 was reduced by 61% in plots treated with the bran prill formulation of isolate GL-3 compared with the *S. rolfssii*-infested control plots, and reductions in disease were equal to or greater than those in plots treated with the fungicides ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2). However, disease incidence was not significantly reduced by the bran prill or vermiculite formulations of either isolate of *G. virens* in 1990 or 1992 (Table 2). The nonamended bran prill control formulation also significantly reduced disease incidence compared with *S. rolfssii*-infested control plots in 1991 ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

Disease incidence on tomato was significantly reduced by PCNB in 1991 and 1992 compared with *S. rolfssii*-infested controls (Table 2) ( $P < 0.05$ ), but PCNB had no effect on disease in 1990 (Table 2). In contrast, flutolanil significantly reduced disease in each year ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

Yield was significantly greater in plots treated with the bran prill formulation of isolate GL-3 (Table 2) than in the *S. rolfssii*-infested control in 1991, but yield was not affected in other years. Yield was not affected by treatments with the other biocontrol fungi, formulations, or fungicides in other years. Although the fungicides significantly reduced disease compared with the *S. rolfssii*-infested controls in 1991 and 1992, yields were not increased.

## DISCUSSION

On carrots, the biological control agents GL-3 and GL-21 in the bran prill formulation reduced disease incidence as well as the fungicides PCNB and flutolanil in most years. Isolates GL-3 and GL-21 were consistently effective against southern blight on carrots when formulated as bran prill but were less effective when applied as vermiculite bran. The vermiculite bran formulation required prior incubation of biomass containing the antagonist in acid-treated vermiculite. There is the possibility that germination was nonuniform or that production of antibiotic compounds such as gliotoxin was variable in the vermiculite bran formulation. In addition, antibiotic compounds may have been produced prematurely, since these compounds are produced as secondary metabolites during active growth of the fungus. We did not test the vermiculite formulation prior to field infestation to confirm these hypotheses but did observe growth and sporulation of the antagonist in the vermiculite in each year. Bran prill pellets were tested each year and contained viable inoculum of *G. virens*.

Germination of sclerotia of *S. rolfssii* was significantly reduced in soils amended with bran prill of *G. virens* in our previous work (19). The antagonist may produce antibiotics such as gliotoxin that reduce sclerotial viability. Production of antibiotics by germinating propagules of *G. virens* increases rapidly after bran prill is added to soilless mix

**Table 2.** Effect of isolate of *Gliocladium virens*, delivery system, and fungicide on the incidence of disease caused by *Sclerotium rolfssii* and marketable yield of processing tomatoes in the field

| Treatment  | Disease incidence    |          |         | Total yield (kg/plot) |          |        |
|--|----------------------|----------|---------|-----------------------|----------|--------|
|  | 1990                 | 1991     | 1992    | 1990                  | 1991     | 1992   |
| Noninfested  | 91.7 ab <sup>v</sup> | 47.5 abc | 50.7 ab | 32.2 ab               | 24.5 abc | 30.8 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> control <sup>w</sup>                  | 95.3 a               | 69.2 a   | 51.5 ab | 35.1 ab               | 18.6 bc  | 20.0 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + bran prill <sup>x</sup>             | 95.8 a               | 35.8 bcd | 75.2 a  | 41.2 a                | 28.8 ab  | 18.0 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + GL-3 bran prill <sup>x</sup>        | 88.6 ab              | 26.7 cd  | 41.5 b  | 27.4 ab               | 33.5 a   | 26.8 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + GL-21 bran prill <sup>x</sup>       | 95.0 a               | 48.3 abc | 56.8 ab | 27.6 ab               | 17.0 c   | 24.0 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + vermiculite bran <sup>y</sup>       | 98.3 a               | 46.7 abc | 60.2 ab | 25.7 b                | 22.3 abc | 25.3 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + GL-3 vermiculite bran <sup>y</sup>  | 92.5 a               | 45.8 abc | 73.8 a  | 32.7 ab               | 23.3 abc | 21.6 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + GL-21 vermiculite bran <sup>y</sup> | 97.5 a               | 60.8 ab  | 59.2 ab | 28.9 ab               | 20.5 bc  | 26.2 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + PCNB <sup>z</sup>                   | 95.8 a               | 15.0 d   | 12.5 c  | 29.4 ab               | 23.5 abc | 24.9 a |
| <i>S. rolfssii</i> + flutolanil <sup>z</sup>             | 80.0 b               | 29.2 cd  | 7.8 c   | 38.5 ab               | 20.3 bc  | 27.6 a |

<sup>v</sup> Means in a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test.

<sup>w</sup> Inoculum of *S. rolfssii* was grown on sterile oat grains for 2 wk and added to plots at a rate of 5 cm<sup>3</sup> per 30 cm of row in 1990 and 1991. In 1992, plots were not artificially infested but contained natural inoculum of *S. rolfssii*.

<sup>x</sup> Two isolates of *G. virens* (GL-3 and GL-21) in a bran prill formulation or nonamended bran prill were added to different plots at a rate of 500 g per 10.2 m<sup>2</sup> at the time that plots were infested with the pathogen.

<sup>y</sup> Two isolates of *G. virens* (GL-3 and GL-21) in a vermiculite bran formulation or nonamended vermiculite were added to different plots at a rate of 1.0 kg per 10.2 m<sup>2</sup> at the time that plots were infested with the pathogen.

<sup>z</sup> PCNB (Terrachlor 75WP) was applied to tomatoes at a rate of 11.2 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water in a 30-cm band over soil in the row, and flutolanil (Moncut 50WP) was applied at a rate of 2.24 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water to other plots. In addition, soil around each tomato was given a fungicide drench with PCNB containing 5.6 kg a.i./ha in 935 L/ha of water at the time of transplanting.

and then diminishes over time (15). Gliotoxin also suppresses germination of sporangia of *Pythium ultimum* (20). It has not been demonstrated that gliotoxin is involved in the suppression of sclerotial germination of *S. rolfii* on carrot. In addition, gliotoxin production is affected by soil physical characteristics and is reduced in sandy soils (15). It is probable that the antagonists in the bran prill formulation produced antimicrobial compounds after soil infestation and that these compounds were involved in suppression of sclerotial germination in soil. Further work is necessary to provide evidence of the mechanism of antagonism in this system.

Biological control of southern blight on processing tomato was not consistent from year to year and was not as effective with the same isolates and delivery system used on carrot. The infection court of the pathogen differs in carrot and tomato. The pathogen generally infects carrots at the soil line or on the taproot directly, and therefore the infection court is limited (22). In contrast, every part of the processing tomato plant, including the roots, stem, leaves, and fruit, is susceptible to infection by *S. rolfii*, and the vines are in contact with the soil for prolonged periods (1). Biocontrol may not have been effective on tomato because this large infection court was difficult to protect. In previous work, we demonstrated a reduction in disease incidence on processing tomato seedlings with the bran prill formulation of isolate GL-21 (19). However, these seedlings were primarily infected at the soil line on the main stem (19). Others have also demonstrated biological control of *S. rolfii* in tomato transplant beds in the field with *Trichoderma harzianum* (23). Biological control of southern blight may be more feasible on staked tomatoes than on processing tomatoes, because staked tomatoes are primarily infected at the main stem. We also demonstrated biological control in the field with the bran prill formulation of GL-21 on bell pepper, which is primarily infected at the main stem at the soil line (J. B. Ristaino, unpublished). In addition, the time of application of the biocontrol agents may have affected disease control on tomato. In 1990, biocontrol agents were added at transplanting, but disease onset did not occur until 6 wk later, whereas in 1991 and 1992 the antagonists were added 6 wk after transplanting when temperatures were higher and more conducive

to disease.

The fungicides PCNB and flutalonalil reduced disease incidence in most years on both hosts. However, PCNB did not reduce disease in 1990 on tomato, when disease incidence was greater than 90% in infested control plots. Flutolanalil is an effective fungicide against *S. rolfii* on peanut and *R. solani* on bean, soybean, cucumber, ornamentals, and turfgrass (12). Our work demonstrated that flutolanalil was consistently effective against southern blight on carrots and tomatoes during 3 yr.

The bran prill formulation provided a highly effective delivery system for incorporation of *G. virens* into field soils. The encapsulation method has been used previously for other biocontrol fungi (7) and provides a better system for field delivery of biocontrol agents than other methods that incorporate large volumes of wheat bran (5). The reduction in disease caused by *S. rolfii* on carrots with the bran prill formulation of *G. virens* was significant. If this formulation is registered for use on field-grown vegetable crops, it will offer an alternative to the traditional use of fungicides for control of southern blight on some hosts.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, nor criticism of similar ones not mentioned. The research reported in this publication was funded in part by USDA specific cooperative agreement number 58-3K47-0-001, and in part by the Washington Research Center, W.R. Grace & Co.—Conn, Columbia, Maryland. We thank James Walter for supplying bran prill formulation of the *Gliocladium* isolate GL-21 used in this work. The technical assistance of Greg Parra and Alan Schepps and field assistance by Fred Cumbo are appreciated.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Aycock, R. 1966. Stem rot and other diseases caused by *Sclerotium rolfii*. N. Carolina Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Bull. 174.
- Backman, P. A., and Rodriguez-Kabana, R. 1975. A system for the growth and delivery of biological control agents to the soil. Phytopathology 65:819-821.
- Beagle-Ristaino, J. E., and Papavizas, G. C. 1985. Biological control of Rhizoctonia stem canker and black scurf of potato. Phytopathology 75:560-564.
- Elad, Y., Chet, I., Boyle, P., and Henis, Y. 1983. Parasitism of *Trichoderma* spp. on *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfii*—Scanning electron microscopy and fluorescence microscopy. Phytopathology 73:85-88.
- Elad, Y., Chet, I., and Katan, J. 1980. *Trichoderma harzianum*: A biocontrol agent effective against *Sclerotium rolfii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Phytopathology 70:119-121.
- Elad, Y., Katan, J., and Chet, I. 1980. Physical,

biological, and chemical control integrated for soilborne diseases in potatoes. Phytopathology 70:418-422.

- Fravel, D. R., Marois, J. J., Lumsden, R. D., and Connick, W. J., Jr. 1985. Encapsulation of potential biocontrol agents in an alginate-clay matrix. Phytopathology 75:774-777.
- Garren, K. 1961. Control of *Sclerotium rolfii* through cultural practices. Phytopathology 51:120-124.
- Grinstein, A., Elad, Y., Katan, J., Chet, I., Zeydan, O., and Elad, Y. 1979. Control of *Sclerotium rolfii* by means of an herbicide and *Trichoderma harzianum*. Plant Dis. Rep. 63:823-826.
- Henis, Y., Adams, P. B., Lewis, J. A., and Papavizas, G. C. 1983. Penetration of sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfii* by *Trichoderma* spp. Phytopathology 73:1043-1046.
- Jenkins, S. F., and Averre, C. W. 1986. Problems and progress in integrated control of southern blight of vegetables. Plant Dis. 70:614-619.
- Kucharek, T. A., and Cullen, R. E. 1989. Control of diseases caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfii* in soybeans, bean, peanuts, and cucumber with flutalonalil. Proc. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 48:31-34.
- Lifshitz, R., Tabachnik, M., Katan, J., and Chet, I. 1983. The effect of sublethal heating on sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfii*. Can. J. Microbiol. 29:1607-1610.
- Lumsden, R. D., and Locke, J. C. 1989. Biological control of damping-off caused by *Pythium ultimum* and *Rhizoctonia solani* with *Gliocladium virens* in soilless mix. Phytopathology 79:361-366.
- Lumsden, R. D., Locke, J. C., Adkins, S. T., Walter, J. F., and Ridout, C. J. 1992. Isolation and localization of the antibiotic gliotoxin produced by *Gliocladium virens* from alginate prill in soil and soilless media. Phytopathology 82:230-235.
- Papavizas, G. C., and Collins, D. J. 1990. Influence of *Gliocladium virens* on germination and infectivity of sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfii*. Phytopathology 80:627-630.
- Papavizas, G. C., and Lewis, J. A. 1989. Effect of *Gliocladium* and *Trichoderma* on damping-off and blight of snap bean caused by *Sclerotium rolfii* in the greenhouse. Plant Pathol. 38:277-286.
- Punja, Z. K. 1985. The biology, ecology, and control of *Sclerotium rolfii*. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 23:97-127.
- Ristaino, J. B., Perry, K. B., and Lumsden, R. D. 1991. Effect of solarization and *Gliocladium virens* on sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfii*, soil microbiota, and the incidence of southern blight of tomato. Phytopathology 81:1117-1124.
- Roberts, D. P., and Lumsden, R. D. 1990. Effect of extracellular metabolites from *Gliocladium virens* on germination of sporangia and mycelial growth of *Pythium ultimum*. Phytopathology 80:461-465.
- Smith, V. L., Jenkins, S. F., Punja, Z. K., and Benson, D. M. 1989. Survival of sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfii*: Influence of sclerotial treatment and depth of burial. Soil Biol. Biochem. 21:627-632.
- Weber, G. F. 1931. Blight of carrots caused by *Sclerotium rolfii* with geographical distribution and host range of the fungus. Phytopathology 21:1129-1140.
- Wells, H. D., Bell, D. K., and Jaworski, C. A. 1972. Efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* as a biocontrol for *Sclerotium rolfii*. Phytopathology 62:442-447.